



Lasqueti Island Local Trust Committee Minutes of Regular Meeting

Date: April 26, 2021

Location: Electronic Meeting

Members Present: Peter Luckham, Chair
Peter Johnston, Local Trustee
Timothy Peterson, Local Trustee

Staff Present: Heather Kauer, Regional Planning Manager
Lisa Wilcox, Senior intergovernmental Policy Advisor
William Shulba, Senior Freshwater Specialist
Wil Cottingham, Administrative Assistant
Dave Olsen, Recorder

Others Present: Andrew Fall, qRD Regional Director
There were 3 members of the public in attendance.

1. CALL TO ORDER

Chair Luckham called the meeting to order at 9:31 am. He acknowledged that the meeting was being held in territory of the Coast Salish First Nations and introduced the staff and Trustees.

2. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

The following additions to the agenda were presented for consideration:

Add Moorages and Shoreline Development to **9.3**.

Switch the order of **9.2** and **9.1**.

By general consent the agenda was adopted as amended.

3. REPORTS

3.1 Trustee Reports

Trustee Johnston reported:

- He investigated the Safe Island proposal, which is a sharing of property.
- Regarding the letter from Rhonda Morris about the Snaw-na-as Woodlot License, and acting alone, he wrote to Chief Edwards, letting him know that we have a growing interest in reconciliation and that no commercial logging has happened in more than 25 years. Cindy Stern, CEO of the Snaw-na-as Development Company, wrote back and noted that they have no immediate plans to log and that if and when logging was considered, they would consult with the community. Another councillor later called and expressed his excitement to come back to the south end of the island

- Regarding sheep, a group has been organizing to protect the sheep but he is not aware of any group working to remove them. He understands that three different types of enclosures are being built, one to exclude sheep only, one to exclude deer only, and one to exclude both sheep and deer.

The Chair requested that the letter Trustee Johnston wrote to the Snaw-na-as be put in the public file.

Trustee Peterson reported:

- Sheep are a hot topic at the moment.
- As part of the Islands Trust's Regional Planning Committee, they are working on strategic plan items, including shoreline review, freshwater sustainability and groundwater mapping.
- As Vice-Chair of the Trust's Programs Committee, they are working on the policy statement.
- His appreciation of Lisa Wilcox, Senior intergovernmental Policy Advisor, for her insights and willingness to be available; she is a very valuable resource.

3.2 Chair's Report

Chair Luckham reported:

- He received many letters about sheep and the First Nations Woodlot License.
- Islands Trust budget was adopted at the end of March, and their Reconciliation work and Policy Statement work continues.
- He recognized that staff have returned to working from home and urged everyone to get vaccinated and stated that the variants are very virulent. He is looking forward to coming back to the Lasqueti community.

3.3 Electoral Area Director's Report

Director Fall reported:

- The vaccination clinics have completed on Lasqueti: originally there were 220 spaces, which were filled in 2 days. Island Health changed the method of transporting the nurses to water taxi so the clinics could expand and over 330 people participated.
- Lasqueti's Official Community Plan Review referral appeared on the qathet Regional District (qRD) Committee of the Whole agenda and qRD staff had many concerns. The qRD Board passed motions to include specific wording. He will report back to the Board later this week that all the items in their motions were addressed.
- The qRD Board asked their staff to create an honorarium policy for volunteer fire fighters. The Lasqueti Volunteer Fire Department Honorarium Budget has been increased from \$1,800.00 three years ago to \$36,000.00 this year. This is aligned with the federal Member of Parliament representative's petition to increase the tax credit for volunteer fire fighters.
- qRD Board created an administrative position to help four volunteer Fire Departments with their paper work.
- Regarding the First Nations Woodlot License (FNWL), he co-wrote a letter as an individual to the Provincial Minister. The proposed FNWL has challenged many in the community to reconcile their desire for better First Nation relations with their

conservation desires. The Province thus far has not communicated with the Lasqueti community.

- As the weather warms, the community shoreline mapping project will be advanced.

The Chair requested a copy of the joint letter; Director Fall responded that they agreed with the Snaw-na-as to not share the letter with any other parties.

4. DELEGATIONS

Tom Weinerth joined the meeting by phone. He thanked the LTC for the opportunity to address them. The following points were noted:

- On page 111 of the agenda package, staff note that there were objections to using the terms “invasive species” and “heritage” in relation to the sheep, and that their compromise of “important exotic” was used to update the current draft OCP.
- The public submissions added up to 86% in support of the word “heritage”.
- Starting on page 109 of the agenda package, public comments were compiled, however, 22 submissions were incorrectly denoted “signed Gail Darwin letter” and did not have their addendums included.
- He said that Trustee Johnston repeatedly asked him about who wrote the form letter and eventually he indicated that he was involved in the organizing group but that they wanted to remain obscure to avoid being publicly harassed. He also sent out an island-wide mailer identifying him and giving his contact information.
- The LCA OCP Review Steering Committee’s Report stated that it is not possible to resolve this issue in a timely matter and there was no recommendation as to how to address feral sheep in the OCP.
- The current and preferred Objective 2, which states: “To preserve and support balanced control of the local feral/heritage sheep which are a valued part of the community and its history,” has been replaced on page 57 of the agenda package, under the Commercial and Industrial section of the Proposed OCP, with a new Objective 6 that states “While recognizing that some exotic species may have value to the community as a source of local food, to minimize the impacts of invasive exotic species on native fauna and flora.”
- The 22 letters all request what is reflected on page 18 of the agenda package: “Delete and remove policy (6). It is legislation aimed directly at our sheep. It advocates and encourages landowners to eliminate the sheep on our property. It is one change away from becoming mandatory.”
- He would like Lasqueti-based definitions for heritage and feral regarding the sheep.
- He recommended that staff use the Steering Committee report and the Green Sheet (the document that outlines the changes) to fact check the new OCP and at the same time incorporate the suggested changes as written in the form letter. He suggested that the only staff time needed is to fact-check Policy Objectives 2, 4, and 6.

5. TOWN HALL

- A member of the public noted that because the Town Hall is at the beginning of these meetings, rather than at the end, it is not possible to discuss what the Trustees have discussed.
- She also wanted confirmation that the Trustees will be dealing with the issue of the unlicensed gas station and feed store.
 - The Chair advised that that application is not on the agenda today.

- Trustee Peterson noted that the Town Hall is at the beginning of the meeting so that any issues that may be discussed during the meeting can be spoken to in advance of any decisions being made.

6. MINUTES

6.1 Local Trust Committee Minutes - none

6.2 Section 26 Resolutions-Without-Meeting Report dated April 13, 2021

The Chair noted that two decisions were made by RWM, one to adopt the previous meeting's minutes, and the other to defeat the request for a Special Business Meeting.

Trustee Johnston noted that the minutes took 6.5 weeks to prepare and asked why it took so long. He also asked that the reason why the Special Meeting was requested be recorded.

The Chair advised that this could be discussed offline.

Staff advised that the timing of the minutes is due to staff workload.

6.3 Advisory Planning Commission Minutes - none

7. BUSINESS ARISING FROM MINUTES

7.1 Follow-up Action List dated April 15, 2021

Staff advised that there were no updates.

Trustee Johnston asked if item #4 on Page 2 was a one-time thing.

Staff confirmed that the motion only applied to the last meeting.

7.2 Log Dump Regulatory Framework – Memorandum

Staff advised that the Province now calls log dumps Log Handling Facilities and that this memorandum is a response to the request for information.

Trustees noted the following:

- The LTC may wish to review this section before approving the OCP. Under the LUB Section 3.7, item 2B, it says the existing log dump located on lot 320 in Nanaimo Land District has been determined to be unsuitable and/or closed to public use.
- It is located on private property and the owner has taken some steps to begin the dismantling of the facility.
- The LTC should ask staff to write to the owner to confirm that the log dump is not available for use.
- If the LUB does not reflect the current use, then that section of the LUB should be removed.
- The LTC should have a look at policies 18 and 20.
- Jack Barrett wrote a letter requesting that all log dumps be prohibited.

Staff noted that the current language exists in the LUB and reflects what the private landowner wants. This is a Temporary Use Permit (TUP) provision, which is different from zoning. She also noted that the current project is only about the OCP, not the LUB.

The Chair noted that at this time no changes are recommended or needed but that this information was very timely in the context of the other forestry-related issues. Section 3.2, Policy 20 recognizes the importance of timber to the economy of Lasqueti and noted that if we are going to discuss timber related issues, in the context of Preserve and Protect, it would be prudent to consider whether these policies are adequate.

8. APPLICATIONS AND REFERRALS - none

9. LOCAL TRUST COMMITTEE PROJECTS

9.1 Pete's Lake Water Project - Staff Report

Staff noted the recommendations on page 133 of the agenda package. The Regional Planning Committee (RPC) budget has included Lasqueti Island in mapping groundwater recharge. This will be reported back to the LTC after it is reported to the RPC. After the mapping is complete, detailed water budgets could be developed for specific watersheds or areas on Lasqueti.

Islands Trust was granted \$200,000 from the Healthy Watershed Initiative. There are three demonstration projects at the moment with a few other smaller projects. This is a COVID relief fund. It could provide equipment and/or on-island testing. Also follow-up funding is possible.

Staff advised that the resolution includes a revision of an existing project charter. Some of this work has already been done at the RPC and rescinding the previous motion would allow the responsibility for the work to be moved to the RPC.

Trustees posed the following questions to staff, and any staff response is noted immediately following the question:

- Was the agricultural water demand project done through the qRD?
 - Staff will come back with more information at the next meeting.
- What are drift sectors, which are referenced on page 139, in the second bullet under 3.3.1?
 - They are dune environments. If they are allowed to move they support local fauna. If they are locked down, they support invasive grasses.
- Will the rescinding of the previous motion impact the proof of potable water for future subdivision requirement?
 - Staff noted that it would not restrict that and he could include it in the Project Charter.
 - The Chair noted that the Project Charter could be updated when it comes to the LTC.
- Phase 4 of the OCP Review includes the proof of potable water and we want to retain that.

LA-2021-008

It was MOVED and SECONDED

that the Lasqueti Island Local Trust Committee rescind resolution 2019-30 *That the Lasqueti Island Local Trust Committee request staff to bring back a revised project charter for LTC endorsement to include: a) the 2020 Lasqueti Island Agricultural Water Demand Model; and b) a proposed 2020 Lasqueti Island Groundwater Recharge and Availability Assessment* since these projects are being undertaken by the Regional Planning Committee.

CARRIED

LA-2021-009

It was MOVED and SECONDED

that the Lasqueti Island Local Trust Committee request staff to draft a *Lasqueti Island Drinking Water and Watershed Protection* project charter to rename the Lasqueti Freshwater Sustainability top priority and provide a project plan to utilize \$20,000 of the Healthy Watersheds Initiative Fund as an implementation demonstration project of the Islands Trust Freshwater Sustainability Strategy.

CARRIED

The Chair recessed the meeting at 10:59am and reconvened the meeting at 11:08am.

9.2 Official Community Plan (OCP) Project - Staff Report

Staff reviewed the history of the project up to today's meeting and the following points were noted:

- The referral period was extended to April 9, 2021. Staff received replies from the Wei Wai Kum First Nation, Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure (MOTI), Islands Trust Conservancy (ITC), qRD and the public.
- The qRD specified issues related to their waste management plan and these were all incorporated into the current draft version of the OCP.
- Public comment included a question about whether the OCP would meet the requirements of Section 473 of the *Local Government Act*. She made a request to the Ministry who would review the document and they advised that they do not review the document with s.473 in mind.
- The suggested changes from the ITC have not been incorporated to date.
- Many comments received were in the form of a form letter.
- She misinterpreted Trustee Johnston's email response to her question about who originated the form letter and apologized to Gail Darwin and for the ensuing confusion.
- Unsigned correspondence has not been included. Any written comments on the form letter were also included, although any defamatory comments were redacted. Copies of all correspondence is posted on the website.
- In terms of percentages, the table of public comments do not include all public input, in particular, the LCA OCP SC Report was a year and a half long process of compiling public comment.
- Sheep are referenced in policies 2, 4, and 6. One instance removed a reference to feral sheep and is in the Commercial and Industrial section. This happened during the process of incorporating the LCA OCP SC Report, which was discussed in a

previous staff report. This was done deliberately and could be the subject of another discussion.

- On page 26 of the agenda package, it states 'Bylaw No. 27 cited as "Lasqueti Island Trust Committee Bylaw Infraction Investigation Bylaw No. 27, 1990" is repealed.'; it is not recommended to repeal Bylaw No. 27, this was a mistake and another recommendation will be made to remove this reference.

The Chair noted that there may be a necessity to expand the scope of work. We may want to go through this one section at a time or use another approach.

Trustees noted the following:

- Staff were thanked for their work.
- Rereading the recommendations of the LCA OCP SC Report, the references to sheep do appear as contradictory. First there is a recommendation to remove the existing policy, then to replace it, and finally to address it at a later date. Some of the public perspective may be traced back to that.
- Would like to look at ITC recommendations on p 15, and also include Pender Island's reconciliation language.
- The concerns around a legal review of s.473 have been lessened due to Staff's comments today.
- Want to include the public comment that did not include suggested changes; this could be sent to the APC or the LCA OCP Steering Committee.
- Inclination is to do the best that we can now and if we need to make amendments in the future, very willing to do so.

The Chair advised that the LTC may want to provide more direction to staff regarding public comment and recommended putting off second Reading so that we can get closer to where the document needs to be for the eventual Public Hearing.

Staff noted the following:

- That she has provided some alternative recommendations.
- The public is free to provide specific wording recommendations at any time.
- Providing a specific opportunity would require sifting through the responses.
- Another option is to remove all references to sheep.
- The recent change to the specific wording for the sheep was sent by Director Fall who has been through much of the LCA process.
- Staff will be providing an updated charter for the next meeting.
- The Senior Intergovernmental Policy Advisor could speak to the reconciliation aspect.

Staff noted that to incorporate specific wording regarding Reconciliation, current OCPs could be changed individually now or they could wait and change all OCPs at the same time at a later date.

The Chair noted that:

- If the updated language was not included now, the OCP would languish in the interim.

- The OCP Draft has some very good language about Heritage, Cultural and archaeological sites, but there is not that inclusiveness related to opportunity, economics and access to land; perhaps that could be included in the future.

Staff noted that they could do an initial first draft to provide a bridge to when the policy statement moves forward.

LA-2021-010

It was MOVED and SECONDED

that the Lasqueti Island Local Trust Committee request staff to amend the Project Charter of the Lasqueti Official Community Plan Project to include the addition of language as recommended by the Senior Intergovernmental Policy Advisor regarding Reconciliation.

CARRIED

LA-2021-011

It was MOVED and SECONDED

that the Lasqueti Island Local Trust Committee request staff to amend the Project Charter of the Lasqueti Official Community Plan Project to include the addition of Parks designations as recommended by the Islands Trust Conservancy; and

That the Lasqueti Island Local Trust Committee request staff to recommend amendments to draft Bylaw No. 98, cited as “Lasqueti Island Official Community Plan, 2020”, consistent with recommendations of the Islands Trust Conservancy with regard to a Parks land use designation.

CARRIED

LA-2021-012

It was MOVED and SECONDED

that the Lasqueti Island Local Trust Committee request staff to amend the Project Charter of the Lasqueti Official Community Plan Project to review Policies 18 and 20 regarding Log Handling Facilities.

CARRIED

LA-2021-013

It was MOVED and SECONDED

that the Lasqueti Island Local Trust Committee request staff to review the following suggested wording:

The Lasqueti Island Local Trust Committee encourages the Agricultural Land Commission and Ministry of Agriculture to revise existing Agricultural Land Reserve boundaries to reflect actual agricultural capability, considering factors such as slope, aspect, drainage, and soil texture and depth.

The Lasqueti Island Local Trust Committee encourages the Agricultural Land Commission and Ministry of Agriculture to recognize conservation of ecosystems as an important and valid use of Agricultural Land Reserve lands on Lasqueti Island and to support the establishment of nature reserves, and the registration of conservation covenants on Agricultural Land Reserve lands with high conservation value.

The Lasqueti Island Local Trust Committee encourages the Agricultural Land Commission and Ministry of Agriculture to recognise that large-scale commercial agriculture is not necessarily the most productive use of Agricultural Land Reserve land on Lasqueti Island, and that successful food production on Lasqueti Island is labour intensive and often involves areas of 0.5 to 5 ha under cultivation. Restrictions on parcel sizes and residential density within Agricultural Land Reserve land on Lasqueti Island should be based on consideration of the benefits of small-scale farming and homesteading;

and draft wording to incorporate Advocacy Policies under Section 3.5 Land Use about Agriculture into the proposed Official Community Plan Bylaw No. 98.

CARRIED

LA-2021-014

It was MOVED and SECONDED

that the Lasqueti Island Local Trust Committee request staff to incorporate a numbering system and style consistent with the Islands Trust Style Guide into Bylaw No. 98, cited as “Lasqueti Island Official Community Plan, 2020” prior to next consideration.

CARRIED

9.3 Potential Projects: Shoreline Development, Moorages, Scottie Bay, and Introduction Materials for Newcomers - for discussion

Trustee Johnston introduced a Shoreline Project by noting that he and the qRD Director will go around the shoreline and map things, including where it would be appropriate to have future upland accesses. He requested that it be added to the current Project List.

Director Fall added that they would try to do this regardless of LTC support, but with more support, it may have some practical uses.

The Chair advised that it was not clear what their expectations were.

Trustee Peterson noted that he supported the idea and suggested that they look at the Shoreline Protection Project that the LTC shelved last year.

The following information from Director Fall was contained in an email:

“Community shoreline values mapping project

Goal: Community project to identify and map shoreline features of Lasqueti (and surrounding islands) that are of importance to community values. This could include possible locations for future public accesses, possible locations for small public docks, and unique and interesting features of all sorts – beauty spots, lookouts, swimming spots, beaches, unique/sensitive vegetation or habitat, etc. This would be a joint project coordinated by Trustee, Peter Johnstone and qRD Regional Director, Andrew Fall.

Process: Interested community members and groups could contribute to this project by providing locations and information on specific shoreline values, with a focus on public land (foreshore and upland), and ensuring respect for any upland private property ownership.

Outcomes: A map and associated documentation to spatially locate and describe shoreline features with identified importance to one or more community values, in particular values articulated in the Lasqueti OCP.

Potential Uses: informing land use change decisions (e.g. applications to amend the LUB); could be attached to the OCP in a future revision; use by landowners, especially during sub-division and development changes; use by community groups for relevant projects.”

LA-2021-015

It was MOVED and SECONDED

that the Lasqueti Island Local Trust Committee request staff to add the Community Shoreline Values Mapping Project to the Projects List.

CARRIED

Trustee Johnston suggested that a project to do community planning for best use of Scottie Bay, including public access & dock, and efficient mooring, anchoring and use be added to the Projects List. He noted that he thought the community did not want a Provincial Conservation Officer to come in and settle current issues and that the M2 zone allows for mooring buoys.

The Chair advised that this would be out of their jurisdiction and noted that a marina group was formed on Thetis Island and is very controversial.

Staff agreed that this was out of the LTC’s jurisdiction and advised that the idea sounds more like a management plan.

LA-2021-016

It was MOVED and SECONDED

that the Lasqueti Island Local Trust Committee request staff to add Scottie Bay Management Plan to the Projects List to do community planning for best use of Scottie Bay, including public access and dock, efficient mooring, anchoring and use.

CARRIED

A Trustee initiated discussion on another item that he called “Prohibit clearing to the shoreline.” He advised that he could not find anything in the LUB that stopped private property owners from cutting trees.

The Chair advised that this would require an investigation into a Development Permit Area for shorelines, which would be a significant undertaking.

Staff advised that if this is about regulating the cutting of vegetation, it is done with a Development Permit Area; if this is about educating people, a pamphlet is in the works for the Trust Area.

A Trustee initiated discussion regarding having the Trustees and/or the Regional Director speak to new land owners to educate them about how to reduce complaints to Bylaw enforcement.

The Chair advised that Trustees could reach out to new residents at any time and that neighbour-to-neighbour communication is always encouraged because Bylaws cannot be negotiated.

The Chair recessed the meeting at 12:42pm and reconvened the meeting at 1:18pm.

10. CORRESPONDENCE

(Correspondence received concerning current applications or projects is posted to the LTC webpage)

11. NEW BUSINESS

11.1 Annual Report - Staff Report

Staff advised that the wording of the Annual Report might need to be adjusted but that this could be approved by RWM.

A Trustee noted that the current version refers to a non-existing public dock in Scottie Bay.

11.2 Snaw-na-as Woodlot License - for discussion

- 11.2.1 2021-02-16 Letter to Islands Trust, H. Kauer, Regional Planning Manager from R. Morris, Ministry of Forests, Lands, Natural Resource Operations and Rural Development (MFLNRORD)
- 11.2.2 2021-03-31 Email from Trustee Johnston to Islands Trust Executive Committee
- 11.2.3 2021-04-06 Email to Islands Trust Executive Committee from K. Lertzman
- 11.2.4 2021-04-06 Email to P. Luckham, Chair of Islands Trust, from G. Scott, President of Lasqueti Island Nature Conservancy (LINC)
- 11.2.5 2021-04-12 Letter to Islands Trust Executive Committee from Lasqueti Island Nature Conservancy (LINC)
- 11.2.6 2021-04-12 Email to Peter Luckham, Chair of Islands Trust, from S. Harrington

Staff acknowledged with gratitude to the Nations that we all work and live with in the Islands Trust area and the following was noted:

- Staff received a letter from MFLNRORD regarding approximately 323 hectares on Lasqueti Island for a First Nations Woodlot License.
- This is an exciting opportunity to work with the Snaw-na-as Nation.
- She has reviewed all the correspondence and engaged with the President of LINC and the Trustees individually.
- Staff has engaged with MFLNRORD and the Ministry of Indigenous Relations and Reconciliation on how to improve communications and to update current agreements.

A Trustee noted that:

- There has been considerable disappointment in the Community from the apparent lack of response from the Islands Trust (IT).
- This is an interesting and exciting development that they will have land on Lasqueti, but it is for industrial forestry.

- He would prefer to give the land to them with no requirement to cut trees.
- It seemed like the Preserve and Protect mandate was trumped by the Reconciliation process.

The Chair noted that we have to be careful about our choice of language. The word intervene is not appropriate since we do not have that ability. Forestry often trumps the IT regulations. He believed that the license states that up to a certain amount of board feet could be cut, rather than a minimum needed to be cut.

Staff noted that:

- Crown land is unquestionably subject to Aboriginal title, as confirmed by Supreme Court of Canada decisions in *Delgamuukw* and *Tsilhqot'in*.
- IT can work with First Nations to inform about Lasqueti, the protected areas, species at risk, the ecology, the flora and fauna, and how we can work together to create conservation areas.
- There is nothing to indicate that there will be industrial logging in any of the correspondence with the Snaw-na-as Nation.
- The Squamish have acquired land under the guise of forestry to protect the land, for culturally appropriate uses, and for selective logging.
- It is not possible to know how the Snaw-na-as Nation will use the land.
- Staff will be reaching out to them to create a meaningful relationship.

Trustee Peterson noted that:

- He was grateful to staff for letting the Community know that there are other options available to the Nation other than industrial logging.
- He also understands that non-timber forest products are another potential use under this license.
- He offered Community Resources in the development of a Stewardship Plan.
- These are not our decisions to make but by developing relationships, we may be able to influence what does happen in the future.
- The zero amount of contact from the Province with the LTC and the Community has not been appreciated.

The Chair noted that referrals from Provincial Ministries have historically had issues and that some of these meetings only took place last week.

11.3 Association of Vancouver Island and Coastal Communities - for discussion

Trustee Peterson indicated that he would like to attend the AVICC meeting and that the LTC could help fund his attendance by resolution.

Trustee Johnston asked why he wanted to attend.

Trustee Peterson responded that regional forums help get a regional perspective and can improve networking with other levels of government.

LA-2021-017

It was MOVED and SECONDED

that the Lasqueti Island Local Trust Committee sponsor Trustee Peterson's attendance at the upcoming Association of Vancouver Island and Coastal Communities conference.

CARRIED

11.4 Community to Community Meetings - for discussion

Trustee Peterson noted that Staff advised him that Community to Community (C2C) meetings could take place now that meetings are being conducted online. He wanted to initiate this work at the same time as the OCP Review Project.

The Senior Intergovernmental Policy Advisor could start laying the groundwork for some of those meetings.

Staff advised that they would look for available grants to facilitate C2C meetings. They could also connect with the different Nations that have traditional uses in the Lasqueti Local Trust Area over the next six to eight months.

LA-2021-018

It was MOVED and SECONDED

that the Lasqueti Island Local Trust Committee request the Senior Intergovernmental Policy Advisor to engage with First Nations with interest in the Lasqueti Local Trust Area for Community to Community meetings and other communication.

CARRIED

11.5 Early Intervention Prior to Bylaw Complaints - for discussion

Previously discussed under 8.3.

11.6 Invitation from Hegus John Hackett, Tla'amin Nation - for discussion

LA-2021-019

It was MOVED and SECONDED

that the Lasqueti Island Local Trust Committee request staff to respond affirmatively to the invitation to meet with Hegus John Hackett of the Tla'amin Nation.

CARRIED

12. REPORTS

12.1 Trust Conservancy Report dated January 26, 2021th

Received.

12.2 Applications Report dated April 15, 2021

Received.

12.3 Trustee and Local Expense Report dated February 2021

Received.

12.4 Adopted Policies and Standing Resolutions

Received.

12.5 Local Trust Committee Webpage

No changes.

13. WORK PROGRAM

13.1 Top Priorities Report dated April 15, 2021

A Trustee advised that the Lasqueti Internet Access Society has applied to place a tower on the hill behind Pete's Lake.

Staff advised that the Model Cell Tower Strategy policy could be adopted at the next meeting.

LA-2021-020

It was MOVED and SECONDED

that the Lasqueti Island Local Trust Committee request staff to bring the Model Cell Tower Strategy forward as a Resolution Without Meeting item.

CARRIED

13.2 Projects List Report dated April 15, 2021

Received.

14. UPCOMING MEETINGS

14.1 Next Regular Meeting Scheduled for Monday, June 21, 2020 at 9:30 am via - to be determined

15. CLOSED MEETING

15.1 Motion to Close the Meeting

LA-2021-021

It was MOVED and SECONDED

that the meeting be closed to the public in accordance with the Community Charter, Part 4, Division 3, s.90(1) for considering (c) Labour Relations, (d) adoption of In-Camera Meeting Minutes dated October 5, 2020, (i) Legal Advice and s.90(2) (b) for the purpose of considering Information received and held in confidence relating to negotiations between the Islands Trust, Provincial Government and Third Party and that the recorder and staff attend the meeting.

CARRIED

The Chair recessed the meeting at 2:15pm and notified the public that it would not reconvene.

16. ADJOURNMENT

By general consent the meeting was adjourned at 2:15pm.

Peter Luckham, Chair

Certified Correct:

Dave Olsen, Recorder