



Trust Council Select Committee Governance and Management Review Agenda

Date: Monday, March 1, 2021
Time: 3:00 pm
Location: Islands Trust Victoria Boardroom
200-1627 Fort Street, Victoria, BC

	Pages
1. LAND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT - CALL TO ORDER	
2. APPROVAL OF AGENDA	
3. ADDOPTION OF MINUTES/COORDINATION	
3.1. Minutes of Meetings	
3.1.1. February 16th draft minutes for adoption	2 - 5
3.2. Resolutions Without Meeting	
3.3. Follow-up Action List (FUAL)	6 - 6
4. BUSINESS ITEMS	
4.1. BC Bid Governance Management Review - draft procurement review	7 - 29
5. NEW BUSINESS	
6. NEXT MEETING	
The next meeting of the Select Committee is March 15, 2021 at 3:00 p.m.	
7. ADJOURNMENT	



**Trust Council
Select Committee
Governance and Management Review
Minutes of Regular Meeting**

Date: February 16, 2021
Time: 3:00 p.m.
Location: Electronic meeting, Public venue
Islands Trust
200-1627 Fort Street
Victoria, BC V8R 1H8

Members Present: Lee Middleton, Chair, Saturna Island Trustee
Kees Langereis, Vice-Chair, Gabriola Island Trustee
Paul Brent, Saturna Island Trustee
David Critchley, Denman Island Trustee
Michael Kaile, Bowen Island Municipal Trustee
Peter Luckham, Executive Committee Representative, Thetis Island Trustee
Deb Morrison, North Pender Island Trustee (arrived at 3:09 p.m.)
Steve Wright, South Pender Island Trustee (arrived at 3:26 p.m.)

Regrets: Ben McConchie, North Pender Island Trustee

Staff Present: Russ Hotsenpiller, Chief Administrative Officer
Lori Foster, Executive Coordinator/Recorder

1. LAND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT / CALL TO ORDER

Chair Middleton called the meeting to order at 3:05 p.m. stating gratitude to live and work in the traditional and treaty territories of Coast Salish peoples.

Chair Middleton stated a quorum of 6 members were present.

2. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

For consideration to add to New Business:

5.1 Select Committee on the March Trust Council agenda

SC-2021-011

It was Moved Trustee Kaile and Seconded by Trustee Brent,
That the agenda be adopted as amended.

CARRIED

3. ADOPTION OF MINUTES/COORDINATION

3.1 February 1, 2021 draft minutes for adoption

SC-2021-012

It was Moved Trustee Brent and Seconded by Trustee Kaile,

That the February 1, 2021 Select Committee draft minutes be adopted as presented.

CARRIED

3.2 Resolutions Without Meeting

None

3.3 Follow-up Action List (FUAL)

Chair Middleton noted all items on the FUAL were complete.

4. BUSINESS ITEMS

4.1 Request for Proposal and Terms of Reference Review

Select Committee discussed the draft request for proposal document circulated as a late item to members on February 12, 2021 and posted to the website.

Trustee Morrison joined the meeting at 3:09 p.m.

Select Committee focused discussion on Section 3.3 Scope of the document.

Trustee Wright joined the meeting at 3:26 p.m.

SC-2021-013

It was Moved by Trustee Brent and Seconded by Trustee Langereis,

Amend the last bullet on page 12 of the document to read: "Review the Islands Trust's organizational structure, its policies and procedures and evaluate how effective and efficient it is in carrying out the Trust mandate." and strike out i.e., Section 3 of the Islands Trust Act2.

CARRIED

Select Committee discussed the process of editing the document during the meeting.

Discussion followed on Section 3.3 to consider the following amendments:

- Page 13, the sentence before the "Governance" subheading, consider removing the words "with the latter broken down" and rephrasing the sentence to define the review of governance and management, both, through a lens of advocacy and planning.
- Page 14, second to last bullet, clarify what it means to have multiple official community plans in a local trust area.

Trustee Morrison left the meeting at 3:51 p.m.

- Page 15, under the subheading “Exclusions”, use of the word “reviewed” - first bullet last line - be replaced with the word “considered.”
- Page 13, under the subheading “Governance”, add a bullet regarding the interface between local trust committees (LTC) and planning staff and the efficacy of tools such as project charters and how work is done at the LTC level.
- Page 14, under subheading “Planning”, add back a review of supports for planning staff and the use of other expertise.
- Page 15, under subheading “Deliverables”, first bullet, is confusing because it references governance, management and planning as the “three areas outlined above” when on page 13, the sentence before the “Governance” subheading refers to “two broad areas”.
- Page 15, under subheading “Exclusions”, last bullet, the phrase “structure of the Trust” sounds like a legislative structure but should refer to the “organizational” structure of the Trust.

Chair Middleton stated he has taken notes and will incorporate the changes into the request for proposal (RFP) template and bring this back to the next meeting.

Chair Middleton will contact Julia Crosby from B.C. Bid, share the committee’s work to date and ask for two examples of relevant RFP’s and invite her to the next meeting.

Chief Administrative Officer, (CAO) Hotsenpiller requested that Chair Middleton courtesy copy him on any submission of the draft RFP to Julia Crosby at B.C. Bid.

5. NEW BUSINESS

5.1 Select Committee on the March Trust Council agenda

Chair Middleton will work with Trustee Brent to compose and send a Select Committee Chair Report to staff for inclusion in the March Trust Council agenda package by Friday, March 19, 2021.

6. CORRESPONDENCE

6.1 Dr. T. Varzeliotis dated January 5, 2021 re: Consensus for Change to the Trust Council Governance and Management Review Select Committee

SC-2021-014

It was Moved by Trustee Brent and Seconded by Trustee Langereis,

That the correspondence Dr. T. Varzeliotis dated January 5, 2021 re: Consensus for Change to the Trust Council Governance and Management Review Select Committee be received for information.

CARRIED

7. NEXT MEETING

The next meeting of the Select Committee is scheduled for March 1, 2021 at 3:00 p.m.

8. ADJOURNMENT

SC-2021-015

**It was Moved by Trustee Langereis and Seconded by Trustee Critchley,
That the meeting be adjourned at 4:30 p.m.**

CARRIED

Lee Middleton, Chair

Certified Correct

Lori Foster, Executive Coordinator/Recorder

DRAFT



**Select Committee (SC)
Governance and Management Review
Follow-up Action List (FUAL)**

SC Mtg	WHO / Activity	TO	TARGET
SELECT COMMITTEE			
<i>Feb 16, 2021</i>	Chair Middleton will incorporate the discussed edits to item 4.1 the draft request for proposal document from the February 16 th meeting and bring the revised document back to the March 1 meeting.	SC	<i>March 1, 2021 DONE</i>
<i>Feb 16, 2021</i>	Chair Middleton will contact Julia Crosby from B.C. share the committee's work to date and ask for two examples of relevant RFP's and invite her to the next meeting.	SC	<i>March 1, 2021 DONE</i>
EXECUTIVE COORDINATOR FOSTER			
<i>Standing Item</i>	Prepare draft minutes for next meeting – (Consideration to Adopt)	SC	<i>DONE</i>
<i>Standing Item</i>	Create Draft agenda in E-scribe - circulate agenda package for next meeting	SC	<i>DONE</i>
<i>Standing Item</i>	Update FUAL for next meeting agenda	SC	<i>DONE</i>



Request for Proposals Conduct a Governance and Management Review of the Islands Trust

Ministry of _____ RFP Number: RFP21-IT-01 Issue date: _____

Closing Time: Proposals must be received **before** 2:00 PM PST on: [\[Insert Date\]](#)

DELIVERY OF PROPOSALS: Proposals must be in English and must be submitted using one of the submission methods below, and must either (1) include a copy of this cover page that is signed by an authorized representative of the Proponent or (2) otherwise identify the RFP, identify the Proponent and include the signature of an authorized representative of the Proponent that confirms the Proponent's intent to be bound, or (3) be submitted by using the e-bidding key on BC Bid (if applicable), in accordance with the requirements set out in Section 2.2:

BC Bid Electronic Submission: Proponents may submit an electronic proposal using BC Bid. Proposals must be submitted in accordance with the BC Bid requirements and e-bidding key requirements (found at www.bcbid.ca). Only pre-authorized electronic bidders registered on the BC Bid system can submit an electronic proposal using the BC Bid system. Use of an e-bidding key is effective as signature.

Email Submission: Proponents may submit an electronic proposal by email. Proposals submitted by email must be submitted to [\[@insert Province email address for receipt\]](#) in accordance with the instructions at Section 2.3 of this RFP.

Regardless of submission method, proposals must be received before Closing Time to be considered.

A proposal is deemed to incorporate the Confirmation of Proponent's Intent to Be Bound below, without alteration.

CONFIRMATION OF PROPONENT'S INTENT TO BE BOUND:

The enclosed proposal is submitted in response to the referenced Request for Proposals, including any Addenda. By submitting a proposal the Proponent agrees to all of the terms and conditions of the RFP including the following:

- a) The Proponent has carefully read and examined the entire Request for Proposals;
- b) The Proponent has conducted such other investigations as were prudent and reasonable in preparing the proposal; and
- c) The Proponent agrees to be bound by the statements and representations made in its proposal.

PROponent NAME (please print): _____

NAME OF AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE (please print): _____

SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: _____

DATE: _____

GOVERNMENT CONTACT: Enquiries related to this RFP, including any requests for information or clarification may only be directed in writing to the following person who will respond if time permits before the Closing Time. Information obtained from any other source is not official and should not be relied upon. Enquiries and any responses providing new information will be recorded and posted to BC Bid or otherwise distributed to prospective Proponents.

[Insert Name, Title]

[Address]

[E-mail (should be the same as for submissions if allowing emailed submissions)]

The cut-off for submitting any questions related to this RFP to the Government Contact will be **three (3) days** before the Closing Time. Questions received after this time may not be answered.

PROONENTS' MEETING:

A Proponents' meeting **will not** be held.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	SUMMARY OF THE OPPORTUNITY	1
2	RFP PROCESS RULES	2
3	SITUATION/OVERVIEW	8
4	CONTRACT	14
5	REQUIREMENTS	14
6	PROPOSAL FORMAT	17
7	EVALUATION.....	17
	Appendix A - Contract Form	19

1 SUMMARY OF THE OPPORTUNITY

Islands Trust Council wishes to retain a management consultant to review the Governance and Management of the Islands Trust and gauge its effectiveness in meeting the 'preserve and protect' mandate of the Islands Trust.

The successful Proponent will be asked to identify metrics for evaluation of the organisation's past performance and to benchmark and analysis of the organisation's current performance The successful Proponent will be asked to make recommendations to strengthen the organisation's delivery on its mandate while adapting successfully to the challenges of climate change and reconciliation.

Further details as to the scope of this opportunity and the requirements can be found within this RFP.

2 RFP PROCESS RULES

2.1 Definitions

Throughout this Request for Proposals, the following definitions apply:

“**Addenda**” means all additional information regarding this RFP including amendments to the RFP;

“**BC Bid**” means the BC Bid website located at www.bcbid.ca;

“**Closing Location**” includes the location or email address for submissions indicated on the cover page of this RFP, or BC Bid, as applicable;

“**Closing Time**” means the closing time and date for this RFP as set out on the cover page of this RFP;

“**Contract**” means the written agreement resulting from the RFP executed by the Province and the successful Proponent;

“**Contractor**” means the successful Proponent to the RFP who enters into a Contract with the Province;

“**Government Contact**” means the individual named as the contact person for the Province in the RFP;

“**Government Electronic Mail System**” or “**GEMS**” means the electronic mail system of the Province;

“**Ministry**” means the ministry of the government of British Columbia issuing this RFP;

“**must**”, or “**mandatory**” means a requirement that must be met in order for a proposal to receive consideration;

“**Proponent**” means a person or entity (excluding its parent, subsidiaries or other affiliates) with the legal capacity to contract, that submits a proposal in response to the RFP;

“**proposal**” means a written response to the RFP that is submitted by a Proponent;

“**Province**” means Her Majesty the Queen in Right of the Province of British Columbia and includes the Ministry;

“**Request for Proposals**” or “**RFP**” means the solicitation described in this document, including any attached or referenced appendices, schedules or exhibits and as may be modified in writing from time to time by the Province by Addenda;

“**should**”, “**may**” or “**weighted**” means a requirement having a significant degree of importance to the objectives of the Request for Proposals; and

“**Tax Verification Letter**” means a letter issued by the Province’s Ministry of Finance to verify that a Proponent

meets its applicable B.C. corporate income tax filing obligations and provincial sales tax (PST) filing and payment obligations, which may be required to be produced by a Proponent as a condition of Contract finalization, as described in Section 2.29.

2.2 Acceptance of Terms and Conditions

Submitting a proposal indicates acceptance of all the terms and conditions set out in the RFP, including those that follow and that are included in all appendices and any Addenda.

A proposal must be signed by a person authorized to sign on behalf of the Proponent with the intent to bind the Proponent to the RFP and to the statements and representations in the Proponent’s proposal. A scanned copy of the signed cover page of this RFP is acceptable as is a cover letter identifying the Proponent, identifying the RFP and including a signature of an authorized representative of the Proponent that confirms the Proponent’s intent to be bound. For proposals submitted via BC Bid attachment of the e-bidding key to an electronic proposal constitutes the signature of an authorized representative of the Proponent and is acceptable without additional signature.

2.3 Submission of Proposals

- a) Proposals must be submitted before Closing Time to the Closing Location using one of the submission methods set out on the cover page of this RFP. Proposals must not be sent by fax, except in the circumstances set out below. The Proponent is solely responsible for ensuring that, regardless of submission method selected, the Province receives a complete Proposal, including all attachments or enclosures, before the Closing Time.
- b) For electronic submissions (BC Bid or email), the following applies:
 - (i) The Proponent is solely responsible for ensuring that the complete electronic Proposal, including all attachments, is received before Closing Time;
 - (ii) The maximum size of each attachment must be 20 MB or less (Proponents are solely responsible for ensuring that email proposal submissions comply with any size restrictions imposed by the Proponent’s internet service provider);

- (iii) Proponents should submit email proposal submissions in a single email and avoid sending multiple email submissions for the same opportunity. If the file size of an electronic submission exceeds the applicable maximum size, the Proponent may make multiple submissions (BC Bid upload or multiple emails for the same opportunity) to reduce attachment file size to be within the maximum applicable size; Proponents should identify the order and number of emails making up the email proposal submission (e.g. "email 1 of 3, email 2 of 3...");
- (iv) For email proposal submissions sent through multiple emails the Province reserves the right to seek clarification or reject the proposal if the Province is unable to determine what documents constitute the complete proposal;
- (v) Attachments must not be compressed, must not contain a virus or malware, must not be corrupted and must be able to be opened. Proponents submitting by electronic submission are solely responsible for ensuring that any emails or attachments are not corrupted. The Province may reject proposals that are compressed, cannot be opened or that contain viruses or malware or corrupted attachments.
- c) Only pre-authorized e-bidders registered on BC Bid can submit electronic bids on BC Bid. BC Bid is a subscription service (\$150 per year) and the registration process may take two business days to complete. If using this submission method, Proponents should refer to the BC Bid website or contact BC Bid Helpdesk at 250-387-7301 for more information. An electronic proposal submitted on BC Bid must be submitted using the e-bidding key of an authorized representative of the Proponent. Using the e-bidding key of a subcontractor is not acceptable.
- d) For email proposal submissions, including any notices of amendment or withdrawal referred to in Section 2.9, the subject line of the email and any attachment should be clearly marked with the name of the Proponent, the RFP number and the project or program title.
- e) The Province strongly encourages Proponents using electronic submissions to submit proposals with sufficient time to complete the upload and transmission of the complete proposal and any attachments before Closing Time.
- f) The Proponent bears all risk associated with delivering its Proposal by electronic submission, including but not limited to delays in transmission between the Proponent's computer and the Government Electronic Mail System or BC Bid.
- g) While the Province may allow for email proposal submissions, the Proponent acknowledges that email transmissions are inherently unreliable. The Proponent is solely responsible for ensuring that its complete email proposal submission and all attachments have been received before Closing Time. If the Government Electronic Mail System rejects an email proposal submission for any reason, and the Proponent does not resubmit its proposal by the same or other permitted submission method before Closing Time, the Proponent will not be permitted to resubmit its proposal after Closing Time. The Proponent is strongly advised to contact the Government Contact immediately to arrange for an alternative submission method if:
- (i) the Proponent's email proposal submission is rejected by the Government Electronic Mail System; or
 - (ii) the Proponent does not receive an automated response email from the Province confirming receipt of the email and all attachments within a half hour of the time the email proposal submission was sent by the Proponent.
- An alternate submission method may be made available, at the Province's discretion, commencing one half hour before the Closing Time, and it is the Proponent's sole responsibility for ensuring that a complete proposal (and all attachments) submitted using an approved alternate submission method is received by the Province before the Closing Time. The Province makes no guarantee that an alternative submission method will be available or that the method available will ensure that a Proponent's proposal is received before Closing Time.

2.4 Additional Information

All Addenda will be posted on BC Bid. It is the sole responsibility of the Proponent to check for Addenda on BC Bid. Proponents are strongly encouraged to subscribe to BC Bid's email notification service to receive notices of Addenda.

2.5 Late Proposals

Proposals will be marked with their receipt time at the Closing Location. Only complete proposals received and marked before the Closing Time will be considered to have been received on time. Proposals received late will be marked late and not considered or evaluated. In

case of a dispute, the proposal receipt time as recorded by the Province at the Closing Location will prevail whether accurate or not.

2.6 Proposal Validity

Proposals will be open for acceptance for at least 90 days after the Closing Time.

2.7 Firm Pricing

Prices will be firm for the entire Contract period unless the RFP specifically states otherwise.

2.8 Completeness of Proposal

By submitting a proposal the Proponent warrants that, if the RFP is to design, create or provide a system or manage a program, all components required to run the system or manage the program have been identified in the proposal or will be provided by the Contractor at no additional charge.

2.9 Changes to Proposals

By submitting a clear and detailed written notice, the Proponent may amend or withdraw its proposal before the Closing Time. Unless the RFP otherwise provides, Proponents should use a consistent submission method for submitting proposals and any amendments or withdrawals. Upon Closing Time, all proposals become irrevocable. The Proponent will not change any part of its proposal after the Closing Time unless requested by the Province for purposes of clarification.

2.10 Conflict of Interest/No Lobbying

a) A Proponent may be disqualified if the Proponent's current or past corporate or other interests, or those of a proposed subcontractor, may, in the Province's opinion, give rise to an actual or potential conflict of interest in connection with the services described in the RFP. This includes, but is not limited to, involvement by a Proponent in the preparation of the RFP or a relationship with any employee, contractor or representative of the Province involved in preparation of the RFP, participating on the evaluation committee or in the administration of the Contract. If a Proponent is in doubt as to whether there might be a conflict of interest, the Proponent should consult with the Government Contact prior to submitting a proposal. By submitting a proposal, the Proponent represents that it is not aware of any circumstances that would give rise to a conflict of interest that is actual or potential, in respect of the RFP.

b) A Proponent must not attempt to influence the outcome of the RFP process by engaging in lobbying activities. Any attempt by the Proponent to communicate for this purpose directly or indirectly with any employee, contractor or representative of the Province, including members of the evaluation committee and any elected officials of the Province, or with the media, may result in disqualification of the Proponent.

2.11 Subcontractors

a) Unless the RFP states otherwise, the Province will accept proposals where more than one organization or individual is proposed to deliver the services described in the RFP, so long as the proposal identifies the lead entity that will be the Proponent and that will have sole responsibility to deliver the services under the Contract. The Province will enter into a Contract with the Proponent only. The evaluation of the Proponent will include evaluation of the resources and experience of proposed sub-contractors, if applicable.

b) All subcontractors, including affiliates of the Proponent, should be clearly identified in the proposal.

c) A Proponent may not subcontract to a firm or individual whose current or past corporate or other interests, may, in the Province's opinion, give rise to an actual or potential conflict of interest in connection with the services described in the RFP. This includes, but is not limited to, involvement by the firm or individual in the preparation of the RFP or a relationship with any employee, contractor or representative of the Province involved in preparation of the RFP, participating on the evaluation committee or in the administration of the Contract. If a Proponent is in doubt as to whether a proposed subcontractor might be in a conflict of interest, the Proponent should consult with the Government Contact prior to submitting a proposal. By submitting a proposal, the Proponent represents that it is not aware of any circumstances that would give rise to a conflict of interest that is actual or potential, in respect of the RFP.

d) Where applicable, the names of approved subcontractors listed in the proposal will be included in the Contract. No additional subcontractors will be added nor other changes

made to this list in the Contract without the written consent of the Province.

2.12 Evaluation

- a) Proposals will be assessed in accordance with the evaluation criteria. The Province will be under no obligation to receive further information, whether written or oral, from any Proponent. The Province is under no obligation to perform any investigations or to otherwise verify any statements or representations made in a proposal.
- b) Proposals from not-for-profit agencies will be evaluated against the same criteria as those received from any other Proponents.
- c) The Province may consider and evaluate any proposals from other jurisdictions on the same basis that the government purchasing authorities in those jurisdictions would treat a similar proposal from a British Columbia supplier.

2.13 Contract

- a) By submitting a proposal, the Proponent agrees that should its proposal be successful the Proponent will enter into a Contract with the Province on substantially the same terms and conditions set out in Appendix A and such other terms and conditions to be finalized to the satisfaction of the Province, if applicable.
- b) Written notice to a Proponent that it has been identified as the successful Proponent and the subsequent full execution of a written Contract will constitute a Contract for the goods or services, and no Proponent will acquire any legal or equitable rights or privileges relative to the goods or services until the occurrence of both such events.

2.14 Contract Finalization Delay

If a written Contract cannot be finalized with provisions satisfactory to the Province within thirty days of notification of the successful Proponent, the Province may, at its sole discretion at any time thereafter, terminate discussions with that Proponent and either commence finalization of a Contract with the next qualified Proponent or choose to terminate the RFP process and not enter into a Contract with any of the Proponents.

2.15 Debriefing

At the conclusion of the RFP process, all Proponents will be notified. Proponents may request a debriefing meeting with the Province.

2.16 Proponents' Expenses

Proponents are solely responsible for their own expenses in participating in the RFP process, including costs in preparing a proposal and for subsequent finalizations with the Province, if any. The Province will not be liable to any Proponent for any claims, whether for costs, expenses, damages or losses incurred by the Proponent in preparing its proposal, loss of anticipated profit in connection with any final Contract, or any other matter whatsoever.

2.17 Limitation of Damages

By submitting a proposal, the Proponent agrees that it will not claim damages, for whatever reason, relating to the Contract or in respect of the competitive process, in excess of an amount equivalent to the reasonable costs incurred by the Proponent in preparing its proposal and the Proponent, by submitting a proposal, waives any claim for loss of profits if no Contract is made with the Proponent.

2.18 Liability for Errors

While the Province has used considerable efforts to ensure information in the RFP is accurate, the information contained in the RFP is supplied solely as a guideline for Proponents. The information is not guaranteed or warranted to be accurate by the Province, nor is it necessarily comprehensive or exhaustive. Nothing in the RFP is intended to relieve Proponents from forming their own opinions and conclusions with respect to the matters addressed in the RFP.

2.19 No Commitment to Award

The RFP should not be construed as an agreement to purchase goods or services. The lowest priced or any proposal will not necessarily be accepted. The RFP does not commit the Province in any way to award a Contract.

2.20 No Implied Approvals

Neither acceptance of a proposal nor execution of a Contract will constitute approval of any activity or development contemplated in any proposal that requires any approval, permit or license pursuant to any federal, provincial, regional district or municipal statute, regulation or by-law.

2.21 Legal Entities

The Province reserves the right in its sole discretion to:

- a) disqualify a proposal if the Province is not satisfied that the Proponent is clearly identified;
- b) prior to entering into a Contract with a Proponent, request that the Proponent provide confirmation of the Proponent's legal status (or in the case of a sole proprietorship, the Proponent's legal name and identification) and certification in a form satisfactory to the Province that the Proponent has the power and capacity to enter into the Contract;
- c) not to enter into a Contract with a Proponent if the Proponent cannot satisfy the Province that it is the same legal entity that submitted the Proponent's proposal; and
- d) require security screenings for a Proponent who is a natural person, subcontractors and key personnel before entering into a Contract and decline to enter into a Contract with a Proponent or to approve a subcontractor or key personnel that fail to pass the security screenings to the Province's satisfaction.

2.22 Reservation of Rights

In addition to any other reservation of rights set out in the RFP, the Province reserves the right, in its sole discretion:

- a) to modify the terms of the RFP at any time prior to the Closing Time, including the right to cancel the RFP at any time prior to entering into a Contract with a Proponent;
- b) in accordance with the terms of the RFP, to accept the proposal or proposals that it deems most advantageous to itself;
- c) to waive any non-material irregularity, defect or deficiency in a proposal;
- d) to request clarifications from a Proponent with respect to its proposal, including clarifications as to provisions in its proposal that are conditional or that may be inconsistent with the terms and conditions of the RFP, without any obligation to make such a request to all Proponents, and consider such clarifications in evaluating the proposal;
- e) to reject any proposal due to unsatisfactory references or unsatisfactory past performance under contracts with the Province, or any material error, omission or misrepresentation in the proposal;
- f) at any time, to reject any or all proposals; and
- g) at any time, to terminate the competition without award and obtain the goods and services described in the RFP by other means or do nothing.

2.23 Ownership of Proposals

All proposals and other records submitted to the Province in relation to the RFP become the property of the Province and, subject to the provisions of the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act* and the RFP, will be held in confidence. For more information on the application of the Act, go to http://www.cio.gov.bc.ca/cio/priv_leg/index.page.

2.24 Copyright

This document is subject to copyright and may be used, reproduced, modified and distributed to the extent necessary for the Proponent to prepare and submit a proposal.

2.25 Confidentiality Agreement

The Proponent acknowledges that prior to the Closing Time it may be required to enter into a confidentiality agreement with the Province in order to obtain access to confidential materials relevant to preparing a proposal.

2.26 Alternative Solutions

If more than one approach to deliver the services described in the RFP are offered, Proponents should submit the alternative approach in a separate proposal.

2.27 Collection and Use of Personal Information

Proponents are solely responsible for familiarizing themselves, and ensuring that they comply, with the laws applicable to the collection and dissemination of information, including resumes and other personal information concerning employees and employees of any subcontractors. If the RFP requires Proponents to provide the Province with personal information of employees who have been included as resources in response to the RFP, Proponents will ensure that they have obtained written consent from each of those employees before forwarding such personal information to the Province. Such written consents should specify that the personal information may be forwarded to the Province for the purposes of responding to the RFP and used by the Province for the purposes set out in the RFP. The Province may, at any time, request the original consents or copies of the original consents from Proponents, and upon such request being made, Proponents will immediately supply such originals or copies to the Province.

2.28 Trade Agreements

This RFP is covered by the following trade agreements:

- a) New West Partnership Trade Agreement.

For more information, Proponents may contact the Government Contact.

2.29 Tax Verification Letter

- a) As a condition of Contract finalization as described in Section 2.14, the successful Proponent (or any successor to that Proponent) will be required to provide the Ministry with a valid Tax Verification Letter, unless an exception applies as described below. If a Proponent is not able to produce the required Tax Verification Letter during Contract finalization, then the Province will be entitled to terminate discussions with that Proponent and proceed as described in Section 2.14.
- b) A Tax Verification Letter will not be required if:
 - (i) the Contract is valued at *less than* CAN\$100,000, including all fees, expenses and all options to extend or renew the Contract; or
 - (ii) the Contract is in relation to a government transfer as described in Chapter 21 of the Province's Core Policy and Procedures Manual.
- c) If a Tax Verification Letter is required, the Contract will contain, in addition to all other applicable schedules, a schedule that describes the Tax Verification Letter requirements of the Contract.

3 SITUATION/OVERVIEW

3.1 Ministry Responsibility

[Author: briefly describe your Ministry's mandate and identify the group within your Ministry that will administer the Contract and any additional clients that will directly or indirectly draw on the services]

To be filled in as appropriate with assistance of Procurement.

3.2 Background

The Islands Trust was uniquely empowered in 1974 by the Province with the *Islands Trust Act* with the following mission, known as the Trust Object:

“to preserve and protect the trust area and its unique amenities and environment for the benefit of residents of the trust area and of the province generally, in cooperation with municipalities, regional districts, improvement districts, other persons and organizations and the Government of British Columbia”.

This mandate makes the Islands Trust responsible for protecting the unique nature and amenities of thirteen (13) major islands and more than 450 smaller islands and the surrounding waters in the Salish Sea. The Trust Area covers about 5,200 square kilometres of land and water and is home to over 26,000 people, with a further 10,000 non-resident property owners. The thirteen (13) major island groupings in the Trust Area, which encompass the surrounding smaller Islands, are called:

Bowen Island Municipality	Mayne Island
Denman Island	North Pender Island
Gabriola Island	Salt Spring Island
Galiano Island	Saturna Island
Gambier Island and Keats Island	South Pender Island
Hornby Island	Thetis Island
Lasqueti Island	

The Trust regulates local land use, manages a trust fund of land and other assets, and works with other levels of government.

The Islands Trust is a federated body. In 1977, the Province of British Columbia amended the Islands Trust Act in a way that established the forerunner to today's structure (i.e., land use planning authority was transferred from Regional Districts to the Islands Trust local trust committees). The Province of BC made further changes to the administrative governance of the Islands Trust in 1989 establishing Trust Council and the Executive Committee as we have today. These administrative governance changes aside, fundamentally the Trust has not conducted an independent comprehensive review since its formation. The Stantec report in 2007 and subsequent staff response analyses provided some review of governance and management; however, it focused on a specific function of the Trust - delivery of local planning services, and thus was not at the comprehensive scope of this review. **The Islands Trust Council seeks to strengthen the Trust by identifying what we do well and how we might improve in relation to our preserve and protect mandate.** See: <http://www.islandstrust.bc.ca/trust-council/governance/internal-reviews/> for further information.

In September 2019, the Islands Trust Council voted to request the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing to add the Islands Trust to the Auditor General Act, allowing for review of the Trust by the Auditor General for Local Government. The office of Auditor General for Local Government no longer exists; however, the desire of Trust Council to review present performance remains. As the Trust currently engages in a Trust Policy

Statement review and consultation on its guiding policies, Trustees also want to inform next steps for better governance and management in two (2) ways:

1. benchmark where we are now; and
2. provide guidance for potential improvements.

Current Governance Structures

The **Trust Council** (TC) is a corporate entity comprised of the twenty-four (24) locally elected island trustees and two municipal trustees elected on Bowen Island.¹ Trust Council is responsible for establishing the general policies for carrying out the object of the trust and financial management of the trust organization. It excludes the financial management of the Islands Trust Conservancy which is managed by the Islands Trust Conservancy Board (see below). Trust Council's responsibilities include:

- Adopting an annual budget, including the Islands Trust Conservancy budget,
- Developing and adopting a Strategic Plan for each four-year term of Council,
- Adopting a Trust Policy Statement bylaw that offers a general statement of the policies of the Trust Council to carry out the object of the Trust. The current Policy Statement provides policy direction to local trust committees and Bowen Island Municipality on carrying out the Trust Object and sets out Trust Council's commitments and recommendations,
- Hearing appeals of Executive Committee decisions on local trust committee and Bowen Island Municipality bylaws, establishing a hiring committee to appoint the Chief Administrative Officer, and auditors (both delegated to Executive Committee),
- Filing an annual report to government,
- Engaging in advocacy, education, and cooperation with other levels of government and non-government agencies and with First Nations.
- Establishing council and special committees (i.e. Regional Planning Committee, Trust Programs Committee and Financial Planning Committee),
- Establishing administrative policies and bylaws, and
- Appoint officers and other employees (though this may and has been delegated to the executive committee)

The **Executive Committee** (EC) comprises the chair and three vice-chairs, all of whom are elected by and from the twenty-six (26) members of Trust Council. The Executive Committee is responsible for:

- Carrying out the daily business of the trust,
- Considering approving bylaws proposed by local trust committees and island municipalities, and
- Acting as a local trust committee for that part of the trust area not in a local trust area jurisdiction or municipality.

To assist in the work of TC **three standing committees have been created**: The Financial Planning Committee (FPC), the Regional Planning Committee (RPC), and the Trust Programs Committee (TPC). Each committee has a chair and vice-chair, elected from and by the membership of the committee.

Local Trust Committees (LTC) are separate corporate entities from the Trust Council comprised of two locally elected trustees for each of the thirteen (13) islands and one member of the Executive Committee appointed as chair of that LTC. Each LTC is responsible for carrying out a function of local government powers (i.e., land use bylaws) as set out in the Local Government Act and Community Charter to meet community need and for

¹ The two trustees elected on Bowen Island are part of municipal council elections and elected to Bowen Island Municipal Council.

ensuring proposed land use bylaws are consistent with carrying out the Trust Object. Local Trustees are also members of the Trust Council.

The **Bowen Island Municipality** (BIM) is a municipality and is located in the Trust Area. It provides its own planning services and other municipal services. The extent to which Trust Council governs Bowen Island is limited to reviewing and approving changes to Bowen's Official Community Plan and for assessing if non-OCP bylaws that include a reference to a matter included in the Islands Trust Policy Statement are contrary to or at variance with the Policy Statement. Bowen Island participates on all decisions of Trust Council through the two municipal councillors sitting as trustees on Trust Council.

The **Islands Trust Conservancy** (ITC), a conservation land trust corporate entity, is for all purposes an agent of government. The ITC is comprised of one member of the Executive Committee, two persons elected by and from the members of Trust Council and up to three members appointed by the Minister. The ITC is responsible for:

- Adding to the land portfolio of the conservancy as funds permit,
- Managing the property of the conservancy,
- Preparing a conservancy plan,
- Preparing a budget for Trust Council, and
- Filing an annual report to government.

Current Management and Organizational Structures

The **Chief Administration Officer** (CAO) is responsible for overseeing the operation of the Islands Trust. The CAO is hired by a committee comprised of the EC and the chairs of the three (3) standing committees. The CAO is the only employee hired by the Council – known in governance terms as the 'the single employee' model. The Trust employs over fifty-five (55) staff who are located at three locations: Victoria (headquarters), Salt Spring Island and Gabriola Island. Roughly two-thirds of the staff work from the Oak Bay (Victoria) office and the remaining third split between Salt Spring and Gabriola.

Staff within the Islands Trust work within the following units: Local Planning Services, Trust Area Services, the ITC, and Administrative Services which includes Information Technology and Mapping. The ITC is not included in this review.

Staff of the **Local Planning Services** (RPS) support local trust committees directly and work on a few Trust-wide projects. Planning staff provide research and expertise as well as record keeping and administrative support. They support local trustees in making community-based land use decisions through professional reports and advice with regards to bylaws, land use applications, resolutions and regularly scheduled business meetings. Planning staff also support the Regional Planning Committee. Approximately three quarters of the Islands Trust budget is dedicated to deliver planning services to the thirteen (13) LTCs.

Trust Area Services (TAS) staff are located in the Victoria office and support all committees and the Islands Trust Conservancy. TAS is responsible for amendment of the Policy Statement, policy development, advocacy, inter-governmental relations, Trust-wide communications, education and community programs, reconciliation programs, indicator development, secretariat services to coordination groups, and grants administration. The Islands Trust advocates on a broad range of topics related the preserve and protect mandate including forest and marine environmental protection, opposing anchorages, supporting improved internet access, improved BC Ferry services and lower fares and pursuing climate change mitigation and adaptation strategies, to name just a few.

Trust governance is exercised through a committee structure (Trust Council, Executive Committee, Standing Committees and Local Trust Committees) which then interface with management through various governance tools: a Strategic Plan, budgetary oversight, and at the local level through the passing of bylaws and land use applications.

3.3 Scope

Objectives of the Review

This review of the governance, management and operational structure will focus on the effectiveness of three (3) key elements:

1. governance in determining the strategic direction of the organization,
2. planning services, and
3. advocacy activity.

In respect of this review Governance represents the policies and processes by which the work of the organization is directed and managed, not the framework of the legislation which enables the authority and limitations on authority of the organization. Notwithstanding, comments on the challenges facing the Trust that arise throughout the course of this review and are impacted by the enabling legislation are welcome.

Effectiveness will be measured against the abilities of the three (3) key elements listed above to meet the Trust Object. To this end, benchmarks of performance need to be assessed as to their efficacy to determine their adequacy, potential for improvement and to allow for baseline measurements against which future performance can be gauged.

The successful proponent will:

1. Review the Islands Trust's organizational structure, policies and procedures and overall effectiveness and efficiency in achieving the organisation's goals.
2. Identify areas of strengths and weaknesses with recommendations on how the organisation can become more efficient and effective in carrying out its mandate by specifically examining:
 - a) Governance and management of:
 - Planning processes and deliverables.
 - Advocacy processes and deliverables.
 - b) Whether appropriate measures exist to gauge how well the organisation carries out its mandate.

Governance

The review of governance will address the following:

1. Is the governance structure successful in providing effective and efficient fiscal and management oversight of the daily and strategic direction of the organization and are there any recommendations for improvements?

2. Are measures of effectiveness used appropriately and are measures adequately benchmarked against other organizations that use similar structures or processes? Are there recommendations to enhance or augment governance?
3. Does the current model effectively balance the interests of carrying out the mandate with value for money for taxpayers?
4. What might be some benchmarks for determining value?

Advocacy

At the Islands Trust, advocacy is the act of influencing and/or seeking cooperation with other agencies and decision-makers about laws, regulations, policies, programs, budgets, priorities, and other decisions relevant to the Islands Trust mandate. Generally, the Islands Trust uses the following techniques to create awareness and lead or encourage collaborative action:

- Monitoring legislation, court decisions, consultations, and other developments in a quarterly report to Trust Council
- Writing letters to decision-makers from a designated spokesperson, usually the Chair
- Sponsoring resolutions and/or suggesting presentations at the annual conventions of the Association of Vancouver Island and Coastal Communities (AVICC) and the Union of British Columbia Municipalities (UBCM)
- Meeting with ministers and other decision-makers
- Participating in consultations and environmental assessments
- Entering agreements

The review of advocacy will address the following:

- 1) Is advocacy, as it is currently being applied, an effective means of fulfilling the preserve and protect mandate?
- 2) Where planning authority available to the Trust is limited, such as in areas of Federal, Provincial or Regional jurisdiction, how effective is advocacy in carrying out the Object of the Trust Act?
- 3) What measurements are in place to determine the benefits of advocacy programs relative to their costs?
- 4) Is the Strategic Plan an effective instrument to guide advocacy and planning within the Trust?
- 5) Are there ways in which advocacy activity could be embodied within planning service delivery to improve overall effectiveness and if so how?

Planning

The review of planning services will address the following:

1. Are the current processes and policies in land use planning services applied efficiently and effectively in fulfilling the mandate of the Islands Trust? Specifically:

- Are the processes and policies the Trust employs for both simple and complex land use revisions and applications appropriate and effective?
- How do the Trust's processes and policies compare with other land use planning organisations? Where differences exist, are the benefits and costs associated with those differences clearly delineated? If not, are there recommendations to provide clarity?
- Does the current model of having multiple OCP's within the Trust Area, as well as in some cases within each Local Trust Area, compare with the practice of other jurisdictions of similar populations? If substantially different, are the benefits and costs associated clearly delineated and are there recommendations to improve clarity?
- What are some benchmarks that the Trust could adopt to measure its effectiveness in delivering the mandate?

Deliverables

The consultant will produce a draft and a final report that will:

1. Identify strengths and weaknesses in Trust governance, management, policies, procedures, and performance metrics for the three areas outlined above.
2. Recommend changes to processes and policies to improve efficiency and effectiveness of the organisation in carrying out its mandate in a manner which delivers value for resources expended.
3. Propose performance benchmarks against which future improvements within the organization can be measured.

Exclusions

Several boundaries have been placed around this review by the TC. As such the following are not within the scope of this review:

1. The ITC. Although the ITC will not be part of this review, it is important to note that the ITC is responsible for the development and implementation of the IT Regional Conservation Plan. The land use planning and regulatory aspects of such a plan does and should involve the TC and planning staff, therefore consideration of the Regional Conservation Plan and its goals and objectives should be reviewed in the larger context of this review.
2. The BIM.
3. Financial details of the Islands Trust. **This is not a financial audit but rather a review of current operations with a focus on the structure of the Trust's organisational structure, its policies, and processes and whether these deliver value for the costs associated therewith.**

4 CONTRACT

4.1 Contract Terms and Conditions

Proponents should review carefully the terms and conditions set out in Appendix A, including the Schedules.

The term of the contract will be for one-year, with the option to renew for one (1) additional one-year period at the sole discretion of Island Trust. Please update if this term is not correct.

4.2 Service Requirements

The Contractor's responsibilities will include the following:

- a) Develop a program of research to investigate the questions posed in Section 3.3 above. (Should they be repeated here? – No, but I would elaborate a bit, do you mean the questions under Governance, Advocacy, Planning and Deliverables?)
- b) Implement the program of research in consultation with the Steering Committee and designated staff contacts in a manner that minimised disruption to daily workflow. Implementation is likely to involve making data requests of staff, in person (or remote pending pandemic response protocols in place at the time) interviews of key staff as well as Trustees.
- c) Present conclusions and recommendations in compelling interim and final written reports that will be accompanied by a summary of findings in a PowerPoint presentation or other graphical format suitable for public presentation
- d) Contractor may scope out the range of research they feel appropriate to fully address the Research Questions posed in Section 3.3. Comparisons of data and research from National and Global practise may be useful in the Contractors discretion
- e) The contractor will supply verbal project updates at biweekly Steering Committee meetings, an interim written report and a final report as well as a slide presentation overview of findings and recommendations from the review.

4.3 Related Documents

A project charter may be produced by Trust management to guide staff participation on the review – decision pending.

5 REQUIREMENTS

In order for a proposal to be considered, a Proponent must clearly demonstrate that they meet the mandatory requirements set out in Section 7.1 (Mandatory Criteria) of the RFP.

This section includes "Response Guidelines" which are intended to assist Proponents in the development of their proposals in respect of the weighted criteria set out in Section 7.2 of the RFP. The Response Guidelines are not intended to be comprehensive. Proponents should use their own judgement in determining what information to provide to demonstrate that the Proponent meets or exceeds the Province's expectations.

Please address each of the following items in your proposal in the order presented. Proponents may find it helpful to use the individual Response Guidelines as headings for proposal responses.

5.1 Capabilities

5.1.1 RELEVANT EXPERIENCE

The proponent should have a minimum of five (5) years experience working with public or private organisations that are governed by a board or Council, using the single employee model to hire the CEO / CAO and governed by a set of policies and procedures. The Islands Trust is an organisation of some organisational complexity and proponents should have experience working successfully within similarly complex environments.

While not mandatory, experience working with organisations that have an environmental or ecological preservation focus would be helpful.

Responses should include three (3) examples of similar work/projects completed in the past ten (10) years that demonstrate the above experience.

5.1.2 REFERENCES

Proponents should provide a minimum of three (3) references (i.e. names and contact information) of individuals who can verify the quality of work provided specific to the relevant experience of the Proponent and of any subcontractors named in the proposal. References from the Proponent's own organization or from named subcontractors are not acceptable.

The Province may in its sole discretion, but is under no obligation to, check Proponent and subcontractor references without first notifying the Proponent or its subcontractors. The Province reserves the right to seek additional references independent of those supplied by the Proponent, including internal references in relation to the Proponent's and any subcontractor's performance under any past or current contracts with the Province or other verifications as are deemed necessary by it to verify the information contained in the proposal and to confirm the suitability of the Proponent.

Further to the Province's reservation of rights under Section 2.22, if the Proponent is deemed unsuitable by the Province in its sole discretion due to unsatisfactory references, or if the proposal is found to contain material errors, omissions or misrepresentations, the Proponent's proposal may be rejected.

Response Guidelines for Capabilities

1. Name a contact person for the Proponent, and include this person's address, phone and fax numbers, and email address. This information will not be evaluated but will be used to contact the Proponent as required.
2. Provide details on experience as stated in 5.1.1 for each proposed team member with brief bios/resumes.
3. Provide three (3) examples of similar work/projects as stated in 5.1.1.
4. Provide a minimum of three (3) references specific to the experience cited, each of which includes a contact name, phone number and email address.

Commented [CJM1]: It is common to state the number of years of experience they should have.

5.2 Approach

Julia – does it make sense to reframe the research questions here or rather make a statement permitting and encouraging the vendor to make a creative / innovative methodology presentation per their own preferred process? No need to repeat, suggested wording inserter below:

With respect to the requirements outlined in Section 4.2, the Proponent should identify its proposed approach/methodology to providing the governance and management review of the Islands Trust.

Response Guidelines for Approach

Responses should include the following information:

- Describe how the Proponent will approach providing the services and how the proposed team will deliver services successfully.
- Describe how the Proponent's approach will deliver the service requirements outlined in section 4.2, specifically for each of the phases (Governance, Advocacy, Planning and Deliverables).
- Describe the Proponent's process for providing status updates to the Islands Trust.

5.3 Price

Prices quoted will be deemed to be:

- a) in Canadian dollars;
- b) inclusive of duty, FOB destination, and delivery charges where applicable; and
- c) exclusive of any applicable taxes.

Response Guidelines for Price

Provide a fixed all-inclusive price for delivering the services described in this RFP.

6 PROPOSAL FORMAT

Proponents should ensure that they fully respond to all requirements in the RFP in order to receive full consideration during evaluation.

The following format, sequence, and instructions should be followed in order to provide consistency in Proponent response and ensure each proposal receives full consideration. All pages should be consecutively numbered, and the proposal should not be more than 30 pages in length.

- a) Signed cover page (see section 7.1 Mandatory Criteria).
- b) Table of contents including page numbers.
- c) A short (one or two page) summary of the key features of the proposal.
- d) The body of the proposal, including pricing, i.e. the “Proponent Response”.
- e) Appendices, appropriately tabbed and referenced.
- f) Identification of Proponent (legal name)
- g) Identification of Proponent contact (if different from the authorized representative) and contact information.

7 EVALUATION

Evaluation of proposals will be by a committee formed by the Province and may include employees and contractors of the Province and other appropriate participants.

The Province’s intent is to enter into a Contract with the Proponent who has met all mandatory criteria and minimum scores (if any) and who has the highest overall ranking.

Proposals will be assessed in accordance with the entire requirement of the RFP, including mandatory and weighted criteria.

7.1 Mandatory Criteria

Proposals not clearly demonstrating that they meet the following mandatory criteria will be excluded from further consideration during the evaluation process.

Mandatory Criteria
The proposal must be received at the Closing Location before the Closing Time.
The proposal must be in English.
The proposal must be submitted using one of the submission methods set out on the cover page of the RFP and in accordance with Section 2.3.
The proposal must either (1) include a copy of the cover page that is signed by an authorized representative of the Proponent or (2) otherwise identify the RFP, identify the Proponent and include the signature of an authorized representative of the Proponent that confirms the Proponent’s intent to be bound, or (3) be submitted by using the e-bidding key on BC Bid (if applicable) in accordance with the requirements set out in Section 2.2.

7.2 Weighted Criteria

Proposals meeting all of the mandatory criteria will be further assessed against the following weighted criteria.

Weighted Criteria	Weight	Minimum score
Capabilities (section 5.1)	40	(if applicable)
Approach (section 5.2)	40	
Price (section 5.3)	30	-
TOTAL	100	

Proponents that do not meet a minimum score within a weighted criterion will not be evaluated further.

7.3 Price Evaluation

Price will be evaluated using the following formula:

$(\text{Lowest Proponent price} / \text{your price}) * 30 \text{ points}$

Appendix A - Contract Form

[Author: There are three Contract options:

Use Option 1 if using one of the three standard versions of the General Service Agreement (GSA, Information Technology & Management Consulting Professional Services, Financial Review and Assurance Services). Version selection will depend on the nature of the services required. Review the Completion Instructions at the following web site: <http://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content/governments/services-for-government/bc-bid-resources/templates-and-tools/service-contract-templates/general-service-agreement-information> or consult with the Ministry's procurement specialist or Legal Services Branch to determine which attachments are relevant to your process. You must get approval from your Ministry solicitor to use the standard GSA for service contracts over \$250,000.

Use Option 2 ONLY if using a custom Contract or a Ministry-specific GSA or Shared Cost Arrangement that has been approved by Legal Services Branch for use with this RFP.

Use Option 3 ONLY if planning on attaching selected Contract clauses. You must consult with your Ministry solicitor to confirm the appropriate clauses.

Select one option that best meets your requirements, and DELETE the other options and corresponding text. Do not combine options. If you are unsure of which option to select, contact your Ministry solicitor.]

Option 1

By submitting a proposal, the Proponent agrees that should its proposal be successful, the Proponent will enter into a Contract with the Province on substantially the same terms and conditions of the following, and such other terms and conditions to be finalized to the satisfaction of the Province: [Author: Select ONE of the contract forms and delete others]

- General Services Agreement at <http://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content/governments/services-for-government/bc-bid-resources/templates-and-tools/service-contract-templates/general-service-agreement-information>
- Information Technology and Management Consulting Professional Services Agreement at <http://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content/governments/services-for-government/bc-bid-resources/templates-and-tools/service-contract-templates/general-service-agreement-information>
- Financial Review and Assurance Services Agreement at <http://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content/governments/services-for-government/bc-bid-resources/templates-and-tools/service-contract-templates/general-service-agreement-information>

The following schedules will be included in the Contract: [Author: Select the applicable schedules for your Contract]

- Schedule A (Services)
- Schedule B (Fees)
- Schedule C (Subcontractors, if applicable)
- Schedule D [Author: specify if additional insurance is required]
- Schedule E (Privacy)
- Schedule F (Additional Terms)
- Schedule G (Security)
- Schedule H (Tax Verification)

Option 2

By submitting a proposal, the Proponent agrees that should its proposal be successful the Proponent will enter into a Contract with the Province on substantially the same terms and conditions of the attached draft agreement, and such other terms and conditions to be finalized to the satisfaction of the Province. *[Author: Attach Draft Agreement which must be approved for use with this RFP by your Ministry solicitor]*

Option 3

By submitting a proposal, the Proponent agrees that should its proposal be successful the Proponent will enter into a Contract with the Province that will include clauses substantially the same as the following selected Contract clauses, and such other terms and conditions to be finalized to the satisfaction of the Province. *[Author: Before selecting this option, selected Contract clauses must be reviewed by your Ministry solicitor]*